

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,578	06/28/2001	Steven H. Brown	71300-0004	2546	
759	06/30/2006		EXAMINER		
DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD		
Suite 800 1901 L St., N.W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20036			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2000	DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Abandonment	09/892,578	BROWN, STEVEN H.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald L. Champagne	3622
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		t
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N     period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Nailing or Transmission dated	), which is after the expiration of the
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in	l Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	mendment which places the pr (3) a timely filed Request for
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.	,	
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).	
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory position Allowance (PTOL-85).	s received on (with a Certificate eriod for payment of the issue fee (an	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated ad publication fee) set in the Notice o
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	rired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair	ence rendered on and becaus ns.	e the period for seeking court review
7.  The reason(s) below:	•	
Atty. Michael A. Schaldenbrand confirmed in an em sent.	ail message received on 21 June	2006 that a reply had not been
	DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER	Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37 C	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice o	f Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20060626